

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application of:

Osamu MAMBA et al.

Application No.: 10/570,875

Confirmation No.: 9276

Filed: March 07, 2006

Art Unit: 2612

For: NON-CONTACT IC SYSTEM AND MOBILE
TERMINAL

Examiner: Nay L. TUN

STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants submit the following statement on the substance of the interview held on March 25, 2010 and acknowledged by the Interview Summary mailed on March 30, 2009.

During the interview, Applicants' representative amplified the arguments in the response filed February 23, 2010, as to the rejection of claims 1, 11, 15-17, and 21-24 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Arisawa et al. (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0141989, hereinafter Arisawa). The discussion was particularly directed to the deficiencies in Arisawa discussed in the arguments at page 10 of the Response filed February 23, 2010 which note that that Arisawa fails to disclose or suggest the limitation "power from the battery being a sole source of all drive power supplied to the communication circuit." As noted in the Summary of the Interview mailed on March 30, 2009, the Examiner indicated that these arguments were sufficient as to the independent claims with this recitation.

However, the Examiner indicated that he was not entirely convinced by the arguments presented at page 11 of the response filed February 23, 2010, as to claims 25-27 that were added. These arguments emphasized the limitations of new claims 25-27 requiring that when the terminal device is communicating with a reader/writer, drive power is supplied from the battery

to the communication circuit while the carrier wave is also detected and that also when the terminal device is communicating with a reader/writer, drive power is cut off from the battery to the communication circuit while the detection means is not detecting the carrier wave. A discussion ensued as to the reasonable meaning of "while" as recited by claims 25-27 that now appears to be moot as the latest Action (mailed March 31, 2010) has applied a new rejection to claims 1,11, 15, 22, and 24-27 based upon Iiyama et. al. (U.S. Patent 6,489,883) that was not discussed during the above-noted interview conducted on March 25, 2010.

If necessary, the Director is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge any fees required during the pendency of the above-identified application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448.

Dated: April 29, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Michael R. Cammarata

Registration No.: 39491

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road, Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

703-205-8000